What is Replacement Theology?

By Rev. D. Earl Cripe, Ph.D.

What is Replacement Theology?

There are three ways of identifying what Replacement Theology is and what it means. The first way we will deal with this term is with its vernacular use, why it is used, and what those who use it mean to convey by its use. In this consideration, Replacement Theology is a term that has been coined and proliferated by Christian Zionism (and its various subdivisions such as Premillennialism, Dispensationalism, Pre-Tribulationism, Mid-Tribulationism, Post-Tribulationism, et. al.) and distributed to its base as a talking point. It is intended to trivialize, marginalize, and demonize any and all who are not Christian Zionists. In addition to the Apostles, those who are not Christian Zionists would include Clement of Rome, Athanasius, Jerome, Ambrose of Milan, Origin, Augustine, and John Chrysostom; and later on John Calvin, Jacobus Arminius, John Wesley, George Whitefield (some moderns say Whitfield, but I believe Whitefield is correct), G. Campbell Morgan, Charles Finney, John Knox, Jeremy Taylor, and Jonathan Edwards, to name a very few. None of them was a Christian Zionist and all of them believed that the Kingdom of God on earth in the New Covenant was the Church. Christian Zionism did not launch until the late 1800's through the efforts of its author John Darby, and C.I. Scofield, its promoter. In spite of the objections of Christian Zionists, there was little or no Zionist component to the Chiliasm of the early Church, a group that was in the minority in any case, but one that believed that the Jews were past history as far as God's program in this world was concerned. They did not see the literal one-thousand-year kingdom for which they looked as having any Jewish primacy or features. But that is another story and we will leave it for now.

The motive of Christian Zionism in bruiting this term abroad is to accuse all who are not in agreement with them of saying that God's program, which was for the Nation of Israel to become the kingdom people, failed. When that happened God was forced to go to plan "B" and <u>replaced</u> Israel with the Church. To them, this is a heretical stripping from God of His Sovereignty by believing something that could never happen, namely that the Almighty God could ever devise a program that would fail. God cannot fail and therefore neither can Israel, because they were appointed this destiny in time-andhistory by the sovereign and unconditional plan of God. Is that true? Is that what non-Christian Zionists are saying? Well, it may be what some non-Zionists are saying, but it is not true of Historic, Orthodox Christian doctrine. But we will wait for a moment to address that.

The focus then of the term "Replacement Theology" is that it is used pejoratively by the Christian Zionist in that the Church has replaced Israel as God's covenant and kingdom people. As in the political world, it is a PR stunt designed to be a psychological way of rallying the troops and intimidating the opposition.

Infralapsarianism and Replacement Theology

But words are tools and they only mean what the context of thought makes them mean. In our society, many perfectly good words, like "gay," "queer" and the like have been made <u>off limits</u> by those who have co-opted them for their own nefarious purposes. This makes it very difficult for others to use them in the orthodox and proper way. Mature men of God, who are not insecure in their beliefs, will not bow to that kind of bullying in this area of theological consideration. Replacement Theology has another focus that is biblical, instructive, useful, and essential for a correct understanding of New Covenant doctrine. It focuses on the time-and-history program of God in which He used a number of parenthetical and temporal operations to bring about the time and situation when, in the fullness of times, the Christ would come into the world and prove the eternal failure of the Covenant of Flesh, which later on evolved into the Covenant of the Law. This would be shown by the inability and unwillingness of the natural seed to meet the terms of that conditional covenant and by their eventual rejection of the King Himself. This was for the purpose of accomplishing a number of things, but primarily as the Apostle says in Galatians 3:24, it was a schoolmaster to bring us to Christ. It proved that no child of Adam or nation comprised of Adam's children, no matter how favored, pampered and provided for, could earn eternal life by their religion and their works. Not even in the situation where God Himself came down and taught the pure spirit of the Law to them and demonstrated with His life how it was to work out. At that time the Messiah, as prophesied by Daniel 9:24-27, would take the Kingdom from them for their failure. Because of their allpervasive abomination (the overspreading of abomination as Daniel put it) in rejecting and then crucifying the Lord of Glory, He would make their house desolate (is it not interesting that Christian Zionism considers the sacrificing of a sow pig on the already-corrupted and polluted altar of the Temple to be a greater abomination than the crucifixion of the Lord of Glory?). In St. Matthew 23:32-39, Jesus said to the Jews:

Matt 23:32 Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers.

Matt 23:33 Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?

Matt 23:34 Wherefore, behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes: and some of them ye shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city:

Matt 23:35 That upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of Zacharias son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the temple and the altar. 23:36 Verily I say unto you, All these things shall come upon this generation. Matt 23:37 O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the prophets, and stonest them which are sent unto thee, how often would I have gathered thy children together, even as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings, and ye would not!

Matt 23:38 Behold, your house is left unto you desolate.

Matt 23:39 For I say unto you, Ye shall not see me henceforth, till ye shall say, Blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord.

In St. Luke 19:41-44, He addressed the Jews and the City of Jerusalem:

Luke 19:41 And when he was come near, he beheld the city, and wept over it,

Luke 19:42 Saying, If thou hadst known, even thou, at least in this thy day, the things which belong unto thy peace! but now they are hid from thine eyes.

Luke 19:43 For the days shall come upon thee, that thine enemies shall cast a trench about thee, and compass thee round, and keep thee in on every side,

Luke 19:44 And shall lay thee even with the ground, and thy children within thee; and they shall not leave in thee one stone upon another; because thou knewest not the time of thy visitation.

In these St. Matthew and St. Luke passages, Jesus calls these the *greatest of all religionists in the history of the world* "children of hell" and questions how, with their attitude about the King and His Kingdom, they can possibly escape the damnation of hell. They cannot escape hell as long as they reject the Gospel and hold to their misplaced confidence in their natural heritage and religious traditions. The time of the nation is passed forever from the scene with the passing of the conditional and failed covenant made with them at Sinai. The curses of Deuteronomy 28:15-69, and specifically verses 63-66, have come upon them. Their house is left desolate. But in verse 39, of

the St. Matthew passage, He tells them how they can escape hell and come back to Him individually. It is (as this passage is interpreted by the 11th chapter of Romans) by repenting and coming to the Cross, and by recognizing what those along the road knew and what the Jews did not and would not admit. This was the Messiah, coming in the Name of Jehovah. In other words, in the Romans 11 passage, it is by coming to Christ by faith and by grace recognizing Him as the Christ, the Savior of the world, just as all must do who will inherit eternal life by birth.

Jesus tells them that He would have done all of the mighty things for them promised in Deuteronomy 28:1-14, but they would not and could not live up to the demands of the Law. If only they had known, Jesus lamented with tears, the things that could have been theirs, but now the time is passed and all is hidden from their eyes. There is no more blessing and protection by God for them. There is only destruction ahead.

In St. Matthew 21:43-45, Jesus had just given the parable of the lord, the vineyard and the evil husbandmen. He concludes that discussion by saying to the Jews:

Matt 21:43 Therefore say I unto you, The <u>kingdom of God shall be</u> <u>taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof</u>

Matt 21:44 And whosoever shall fall on this stone shall be broken: but on whomsoever it shall fall, it will grind him to powder.

Matt 21:45 And when the chief priests and Pharisees had heard his parables, they perceived that he spake of them.

The Jews knew what Jesus was talking about, even if Christian Zionists do not. They knew He had spoken this parable against them and was taking the kingdom away from them and giving it to someone else. It filled them with rage and they tried to take Him and kill Him, but they could not do it because His time had not yet come. The events of Pentecost make it clear that the people to whom Christ would give the Kingdom was the Church. Anyone who is too stubbornly committed to his dispensational fantasy to admit this evident reality is guilty of blasphemy against Christ and the Church. The Church is the glorious Bride of Christ, the Body of Christ, Bone of His Bone, Flesh of His Flesh, the pillar and ground of truth, by which, according to Ephesians 3:21, Christ will get Glory to Himself for the rest of this final dispensation on this earth and throughout the endless ages of Eternity. Read it:

Eph 3:20 Now unto him that is able to do exceeding abundantly above all that we ask or think, according to the power that worketh in us,

Eph 3:21 Unto him be glory <u>in the church by Christ Jesus</u> <u>throughout all ages</u>, world without end. Amen.

Christ is working to purify the Church so that He can present to Himself in that Day a glorious Bride having no spot or wrinkle in Her garment and no defilement in Her character.

In Colossians 1:24, the Apostle says that the sufferings of the servants of God in this world is for the sake, the filling out, the benefit, and the improvement of the Church.

In St. Matthew 16:18, Jesus tells the Apostles that His mission in the coming New Covenant is to <u>build His Church and that not even the gates of hell can stop that enterprise</u>. If Satan, with all his forces, imps, treacheries and falseness cannot push the Church off the center stage of time-and-history, how, one wonders, does the Christian Zionist, with his materialistic, Age of Reason, fallen religious intellect and Old Testament theology, think that he is going to be able to do it? To try to put this glorious Church in a position of secondary importance in time-and-history to that band of murderers, hypocrites, liars, enemies of the Cross, subverters of the Gospel, and children of hell, is a crime against the Cross of major proportions. The Kingdom was taken, by Christ the King, from Israel and given to the Church as God's agency of accomplishing His work in the greatest and last dispensation of time.

If this sounds to you like replacement theology in this context, you are right. There are many more places in the Bible to verify this concept of the 'People of Promise' replacing the 'people of the flesh' in God's time-and-history program, but we will only look at one more of them to keep this article from becoming too long. In Romans 10, the Apostle quotes from both Moses and Isaiah, or in other words *the preeminent representative of the Law* and the *preeminent representative of the prophets*. Here is what he says about it:

Rom 10:18 But I say, Have they not heard? Yes verily, their sound went into all the earth, and their words unto the ends of the world.

Rom 10:19 But I say, Did not Israel know? First Moses saith, I will provoke you to jealousy by them that are no people, and by a foolish nation I will anger you.

Rom 10:20 But Esaias is very bold, and saith, I was found of them that sought me not; I was made manifest unto them that asked not after me.

Rom 10:21 But to Israel he saith, All day long I have stretched forth my hands unto a disobedient and gainsaying people.

The point, as it bears on our subject of Replacement Theology, is that through Moses and Isaiah, God warned the Jews that He was going to wash His hands of them and <u>replace</u> them with other people, namely the Gentiles. This is an Old Testament metaphor for the Church, that body of the Children of Promise taken from among all nations. <u>Is this replacement</u> <u>theology, or what would you call it</u>? I am sure the Christian Zionists will call it something else because they have never yet let the words of Jesus and the Apostles get in the way of the carnal, self-righteous clinging to their perceived goodness in Adam's children, and I doubt the will do so now. But if you are one who is looking for the truth in this matter, what does it sound like to you — these words of God through Moses and Isaiah?

The Supralapsarian Plan

And so, in the Infralapsarian plan of God as He reacted to time-andhistory, God <u>replaced Israel with the Church</u> as His Kingdom people. In that theological context, Replacement Theology is biblical, it was good, and it was necessary. But there is another, Supralapsarian plan of God. It was not formed as a reaction to man's actions in time-and-history. It was devised in the councils of God and attaches to the Sovereign Will of God, not only outside of time-and-history, but before time-and-history began. In that Supralapsarian plan, Christ was always the King of that eternal Kingdom, and the People of the Promise (the Spiritual Seed, the Church) were always the people of God. In fact, in that Supralapsarian plan, there never was a natural carnal seed, there was no nation of Israel, and there was no mortal and corrupted kingdom. <u>I am</u> <u>going to prove that to you from the Scriptures in a moment, if you have eyes to see and ears to hear</u>, but first I need to say a little bit about the Supralapsarian plan, the Infralapsarian plan, how they differed and how they worked out, both in eternity and time-and-history.

1. We will start with **Supralapsarianism**. What is Supralapsarianism, how do we understand it from the Scriptures, and how do the Scriptures say that it works? First of all, Supralapsarianism is a theological and not a Biblical term. But, like many theological terms, it is a title that represents a very large and sometimes very complex body of biblical doctrine. I will try to make it brief and simple. The word itself consists in two basic parts: supra, and then lapsarian. Supra means outside of, like superstructure. *Lapsarian* makes reference to the *Lapse*, or in other words, the Fall. In the strictest sense of the word, Supralapsarian means outside of or before the Fall. But in the practical theological sense, it means outside of and before time-and-history. The Supralapsarian plan of God was before the world was made and did not take into account any of the happenings of time-and-history. For example, the program of God from the beginning was

for a family that was born to Him, out of His own inner being and of His sperm, as I Peter 1:23 puts it. The plan was devised and enacted before the world was made. In that Supralapsarian plan, Christ was slain in the councils of God before the world was made. Revelation 13:8 spells it out quite clearly: **"And all that dwell upon the earth shall worship him, whose names are not written in the book of life of <u>the Lamb slain from</u> <u>the foundation of the world."</u> The Fall was planned before the world was made and the redemption of man was provided for in those eternal councils. In order to get what He wanted, God had to lose us as created beings in order to bring us back as born children. We have gained more in Christ by far than we lost in Adam. The Fall was ordained by God in His sovereignty as a necessary part of the unfolding of the program of the redemption of the world though Jesus Christ, the Seed of the Woman. In that 8 verse, we have just read that the Church, the Elected Children of Promise, were written in the Book of Life before the world began.**

2. Infralapsarian is also a two-part word. There is *infra* which means *inside* of or <u>after</u>, and <u>lapsarian</u> which means <u>the Lapse</u> or <u>the Fall</u>. This makes reference to the plan of God that unfolded in time-and-history, after the Fall and was prompted by God's reaction to man's actions. For instance, in time-and-history, God did not want Adam to fall. He warned him sternly against it at the pains of death, and punished him and banished him from the beautiful Garden because of it. Before time-and-history God ordained the Fall, but after the Fall in time-and-history, it was a grief of mind to God. In Genesis 6:5-8 we read: "And God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually. And it repented the LORD that he had made man on the earth, and it grieved him at his heart. And the LORD said, I will destroy man whom I have created from the face of the earth; both man, and beast, and the creeping thing, and the fowls of the air; for it repenteth me that I have made them. But Noah found grace in the eyes of the LORD."

In the Supralapsarian program, the Fall was planned and was an integral part of the program. But in the Infralapsarian program, God did not want it to happen and it was the dereliction of man that brought it about, a rebellion which brought down the whole human race. The question often gets asked, 'Which one of those two theologies is the true one?' The answer is that, to historic orthodox Christian doctrine, they are both correct. But that is not rationally reconcilable, is it? No, it is not and that underscores the greatest vice of not only Age of Reason Theology but of the theology of the creature. <u>Truth is not a truth of reason; it is a truth of revelation</u>. In the Garden, the serpent argued to Eve that God could not be right because what He was saying was not reasonable as man counts reason.

"You cannot give someone a Garden and then start telling them what they can and cannot do with it. If it is their Garden, they can do what they want. You cannot give someone a brilliant mind and then tell them that intellectual capacity cannot lead to life and can only lead to death. You cannot put someone in a glorious world of adventure and discovery and then tell them that truth is not discovered by experience."

Eve bought into the lie, as many in religion are doing today, but the fact is that both Eve and the serpent were wrong and God was right. Truth does not originate in the mind of the creature because, as Jesus told the Jews in St. John 8:44, there is no truth in man. And truth cannot be censored and altered by the mind of the creature. Only God is Truth, and truth only comes from God. Furthermore, it only comes by revelation. "The day you decide you can pass rational, scientific, philosophical, experimental judgment of my truth," God told Eve, "is the day you will die." That was an objective judgment of God for the rebellion of the creature against the Creator and the attempt to establish autonomy from the Creator and His words. But it was more — far more. It was cause-and-effect. There is a way that seems right unto man but the ends thereof are the ways of Death. Only God is Truth, only God is Light, and only God is Life. (And, according to St. John 1, that Life, Light and Truth only comes from God and His Word.)

Long Ago, in Isaiah 55:8-9, God tried to get this point across to men: "For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, saith the LORD. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways, and my thoughts than your thoughts." In this, God was not telling man that he had a long way to go in order to come to the point of knowing what God knows. He was telling them that there was a chasm between the Creator and the creature that could not be spanned by man. Job 9:10 says that the things God does are past finding out. In Romans 11:33-34, St. Paul logs on to this theme: "O the depth of the riches both of the wisdom and knowledge of God! how unsearchable are his judgments, and his ways past finding out! For who hath known the mind of the Lord? or who hath been his counsellor?"

I Corinthians 2:9-16 tell us that the natural learning capacities of man identified in that passage as the eyes, the ears and the mind — are impotent to contact the things of God and the truth of God. With natural man, if the eyes cannot see it, the ears cannot hear it and the mind cannot think it, then it does not exist. Ah, but there is another realm where God lives and those who have the mind of Christ can understand and know those things; but only by faith in what the Word of God reveals to them. Colossians 2:2-3 tell us that all of the treasures of wisdom and knowledge are hidden from man in God and that those treasures are revealed to us by and through Christ and only by and through Christ. The Apostle goes on in verses 7-9 to say that we came to Christ by faith and we must continue with Him by faith. "Beware of philosophy or other forms of vain deceit, which are lies." In I Timothy 6:20, the Apostle adds <u>science</u> to that list of false things that will drive you on the deadly reefs, insofar as far as your spiritual life is concerned. You are complete in Christ.

Well, the point here is that truth is what the Bible says it is; and not what reason, philosophy, science or experience would tell us that it is. And the Bible tells us that both the Supralapsarian plan outside of time-and-history <u>and</u> the Infralapsarian plan inside of time-and-history are real. No, the reality of time-and-history does not cancel out the before-time-and-history, Supralapsarian plan, but neither does Supralapsarianism rob time-and-history of its reality. How often can I say it and in how many ways? Time-and-history is real! It is real, do you hear me!

But there are a few things to keep in mind. First of all, time-and-history, real though it is, is finite and mortal. It started with the beginning of mortality and it will end with the end of this mortal world. And then, remember that while the Supralapsarian plan was before time-and-history, some of its realities and features have invaded time-and-history. There is much — so very much — that could be said about that, but we are opening too many gates, so I am going to limit it to this conclusion: The Church, the Body and Bride of Christ, which was planned from before the world was, has been brought into this world of time-and-history in the fullness of times, at the appointed time, to carry out the great commission that can only be carried out by those who have been born to God. This program of God was witnessed at many times and in many ways. I will cite only a few.

- A. In the Garden, after the Fall, God promised the Seed of the Woman who would come and destroy the Devil and deliver the Children of Eve through the blood sacrifice and not by works.
- B. In Cain and Able it was shown that this blood sacrifice and the deliverance it would bring would only have efficacy to those who would see their need and accept it as God's gracious provision.
- C. In Genesis 12:3 and 7, the program of God was for the children of the promise. Isaac was not the seed or the Child, but was only a type. Galatians 3:15-18 tells us the truth about the two covenants and the people of them: "Brethren, I speak after the manner of men; Though it be but a man's covenant, yet if it be confirmed, no man disannulleth, or addeth thereto. Now to Abraham and his seed

were the promises made. He saith not, And to seeds, as of many; but as of one, And to thy seed, which is Christ. And this I say, that the covenant, that was confirmed before of God in Christ, the law, which was four hundred and thirty years after, cannot disannul, that it should make the promise of none effect. For if the inheritance be of the law, it is no more of promise: but God gave it to Abraham by promise." The Covenant of Promise for the Promised Body, the Church, was made 430 years before the Law was given and Sinai and the nation of Israel was born. When that conditional Covenant of the Flesh came along it had nothing whatsoever to do with, and no alterations to make to, the Covenant of Promise that had been signed, sealed, delivered and confirmed in Christ 430 years earlier. The nation of Israel was a temporal body, destined to fail and ordained for a temporal purpose. Galatians 3:24 tells us what that purpose was. It was a schoolmaster to bring us to Christ. In other words, it taught the world that no religious people, no matter how committed and zealous, had any eternal inheritance on the account of their nationality, and that they could not earn any inheritance under the terms and conditions of the law. But with the Promised Seed under the New Covenant, it was different. They had an eternal inheritance promised to them by faith and by grace. Verses 26 through 29 tell us about them: "For ye are all the children of God by faith in Christ Jesus. For as many of you as have been baptized into Christ have put on Christ. There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus. And if ye be Christ's, then are ye Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise (made in Genesis 12:3 and 7)."

D. In the two sons of Judah, in Genesis 38:29-30, this primacy of the Spiritual Children is prefigured prophetically. One child started to be born first and the midwife tied a scarlet thread around his finger to identity which one had the birth right. Then the other pulled him back and came out ahead of him. The midwife experienced some reverential fear at this point and named the one that came out first <u>Pharez</u>, which means <u>a breach</u>, because he had crowded ahead of his brother and made <u>a breach</u> in the birth process. Then the one which started to be born first and came out last was named <u>Zarah</u>, which means <u>the Rising of Light</u>. Thus the Church, the Promised Seed started to emerge in Genesis 12:3 and 7 when the Covenant of Promise was made and confirmed. But then it withdrew while the parenthetical operation of the natural seed came on, ran it course and disappeared into the darkness of unbelief and rejection. Then that which started to emerge first, which had the scarlet thread and testimony of redemption by the blood of Christ, came on last and permanently forever. Christ is the First and the Last.

E. In the two sons of Joseph, we have a very interesting prophesy about this matter of the people of God from eternity past. Nothing is more revealing, more definitive and more effective in banishing the misguided concepts of Christian Zionism, Premillennialism, Dispensationalism, and whatever else one might include under the banner of Materialistic Theology, than the story of Joseph's two sons and Israel's blessing of them. The name Ephraim means to be fruitful, it means *fruitfulness*, it means to bless, to be blessed, to cause to be blessed, to cause to be fruitful, and to grow and move ahead. The name Manasseh, on the other hand, means to cause to forget, or the process of causing to forget. Joseph got word that Jacob was near death so he took his two sons to see their grandfather. When Jacob heard that Joseph was coming he used what strength he had to get himself up on the bed so he could talk to him in a presentable way. In order to keep from falling over, he had to lean on his cane while sitting on the bed. But he was determined to talk to Joseph

before he died. Jacob had Rachel on his mind, he was thinking about the child of the promise, he was thinking about Ephrath near Bethlehem, (and of course, the name Ephrath, which means to be *fruitful*, was very close to the name *Ephraim*, both being from the same primitive root). He discussed with him how Rachel had died and he had buried her there. Then he said to Joseph, "Your two sons, which were born to you here in Egypt are mine; I need them." And while it is a bit obscure and we will not take the time now to really develop it, Jacob seems to be saying, "I need to put them in the twelve tribes, I need them in the genealogy, I need them for an inheritance." It is a far-reaching study which develops later on in the book of Exodus. Dan was taken out of the Twelve Tribes and Ephraim and Manasseh were put in the place of Joseph and Dan. (Why Ephraim was taken out and why Joseph was put back in the genealogy in the book of Revelation is fascinating. None of that is by chance. I do not want to pique your curiosity and then leave you hanging but we cannot get off on that now or we will never get through this article.) I will leave it by simply telling you that this is what Jacob was alluding to when he told Joseph, "I need these sons, they must be in the twelve tribes, they must have an inheritance and they have to be called the Children of Israel — my sons. The rest of the children you have can be called your sons but these two sons are mine." Jacob did not see the two sons when he said that but he had it on his mind and it was an urgent matter to him to get it said. But then he did see them and asked who they were. When he discovered that these were the very two sons he had been talking about, he told Joseph to bring them up to him so he could bless them. Genesis 48:10 tells us that Israel could hardly see. His eyes were dim and he was nearly blind. Take note of the fact that it was Jacob who was talking to Joseph in verse 2. But now, as he prepares to bless the two sons, it is *Israel* who speaks. (This becomes important in a discussion that we are going to

have shortly, so keep that in the back of your mind.) Because Israel could hardly see, he got them up close to him and the Bible says he guided his hands wittingly. He knew exactly what he was doing when he put his right hand on the head of Ephraim. Joseph had tried to set it up otherwise. When he took them out from between his knees, he had Ephraim in his right hand and held him up to Israel's left hand and he had Manasseh in his left hand and held him up to Israel's right hand. But verse 14 says that Israel looked carefully to see what he was doing and put his right hand on the head of Ephraim, "for Manasseh was the first born," in verse 14. In other words, it is giving us an explanation of why he put his hand on the head of Ephraim to bless him *because Manasseh was the first born*.

Hopefully by this time, you will get the point without my having to belabor it. This is a testimony of the Covenant of Promise. As with Abel, Jacob and Zarah, the first born in the natural situation is again being rejected. So then, Israel gave his blessing and it included both a blessing for the nation, but also the Messianic promise of Christ and the Church, that great innumerable multitude from all nations in the midst of the earth. The term nations being the same as the term Gentiles is one of the ways of identifying the Church in prophecy. When Joseph saw what was going on, he tried to pull his father's hand off of Ephraim's head and put it on Manasseh's head. "You're making a big mistake," he told Israel, "You've got the wrong one, Manasseh is the first born; bless him first." But Israel refused to have his hand moved. "I am not making a mistake," he told Joseph, "I know exactly what I'm doing. I am not leaving Manasseh without a blessing. Manasseh shall be great and he shall be a great nation. [There, in prophetic language, is the natural promise for the natural nation of Israel.] But Ephraim shall be greater and he shall become a multitude of nations." Properly understood this is the promise for

Christ and the Church and this blessing is set in front of Manasseh and the nation. Verse 20, "And he blessed them saying, 'In thee shall Israel bless saying God make thee as Ephraim and God make thee as Manasseh and he set Ephraim above Manasseh."" In the natural order, people would have said. "God make thee as Manasseh and God make thee as Ephraim," because Manasseh was the first born. The point here is Israel's proverb reversed that natural order of things and put Ephraim ahead of Manasseh which is putting Christ, the Church, and the New Covenant ahead of the natural Israel and the Old Covenant prospect. Then Israel said to Joseph, "I am dying, but God will remember His promise, He will bring these people out of this land when His time has come." And He said moreover, "Joseph, I wanted to tell you that I have given you a portion above that which has been given to your brothers." Now remember that Judah was one of the brothers over whom God through Israel gave Joseph a greater blessing than. That comes out clearly in Genesis 49:22-26: "Joseph is a fruitful bough, even a fruitful bough by a well; whose branches run over the wall: The archers have sorely grieved him, and shot at him, and hated him: But his bow abode in strength, and the arms of his hands were made strong by the hands of the mighty God of Jacob; (from thence is the shepherd, the stone of Israel:) Even by the God of thy father, who shall help thee; and by the Almighty, who shall bless thee with blessings of heaven above, blessings of the deep that lieth under, blessings of the breasts, and of the womb: The blessings of thy father have prevailed above the blessings of my progenitors unto the utmost bound of the everlasting hills: they shall be on the head of Joseph, and on the crown of the head of him that was separate from his brethren." Like Zarah and like Ephraim, Joseph was not in the natural genealogy of Jesus the Jew in the Old Covenant. But he was in the spiritual lineage of the Second Adam and of the Covenant of Promise, eternal in

nature, far superior in prospect, and existent from the beginning. The Virgin Birth is here predicted — the blessing of the breasts and of the womb; the Incarnation is here — the blessing of heaven above; and the Resurrection is here — the blessing of the earth beneath. I would love to get off into these subjects, but we simply cannot do it now.

I told you earlier that I would prove from the Scriptures that there never was a natural people or a natural nation of Israel as the people of God in the Supralapsarian Sovereign program for an eternal family of God born to Him through the Cross and the Resurrection, the Covenant of Promise and for Christ and the Church. I am going to do that now by taking you to some things in Jeremiah 31. Those of you who are good Bible students will recognize immediately that Jeremiah chapter 31 is one of the great chapters of the Old Testament in predicting the New Testament prospect. But it is also a chapter where many people, many Christian Zionists, feel they find great support for the doctrine that God will regather the nation into its homeland in the later days. This they think they find in the early verses of chapter 31, but I want to point out to you that this chapter starts out by the Lord saying this: "The people which were left of the sword found grace in the wilderness; even Israel, when I went to cause him to rest. The Lord hath appeared of old unto me, saying, Yea, I have loved thee with an ever lasting love." We will mention briefly without taking the time to examine closely Hosea's prophecy where God said; "When Israel was a child then I loved him and out of Egypt have I called my son." (Hosea 11:1) In the Gospel of St. Matthew 2:13-16, Joseph took Christ the Child into Egypt to hide from Herod who was trying to kill him. When he heard that Herod was dead he brought Him back by the way of the sea to the land of Nazareth, so that the scripture might be fulfilled which said, "Out of Egypt have I called my Son." The bringing of Christ back from Egypt after the death of Herod was the fulfillment of Hosea's

prophecy. The point is that the True Israel all along from the beginning *is Christ*. Christ is the only *True Prince of God*, and all other uses of the term *Israel* are types to call attention to that fact. We see that testimony when Jacob was converted and when he turned from the natural to the spiritual. But it was only a prophetic testimony. Surely no one is so far out of it as to suppose that the man Jacob was the Prince of God!

Well, at any rate, this should be the key that the 31st chapter of Jeremiah is a New Testament prophecy. Then it talks about gathering them from every nation where they have been scattered and this gives fuel to the speculation that this is a latter-day prophecy of the regathering of the nation of Israel. But I will show you something here that points up the fallacy of that exegesis. In verse 8 we read, "Behold, I will bring them from the north country, and gather them from the coasts of the earth, and with them the blind and the lame, the woman with child and her that travaileth with child together: a great company shall return thither. They shall come with weeping, and with supplications will I lead them: I will cause them to walk by the rivers of waters in a straight way, wherein they shall not stumble: for I am a father to Israel, and Ephraim is my firstborn." Pay close attention to what is being said here. In this passage we have Ephraim, Zion, Jehovah, the Remnant, the Rivers of Water (The Gospel), Holiness, and Israel on one side. On the other side we have Manasseh, Sinai and Jacob. It was Israel the Price of God, not Jacob the Supplanter, who gave Ephraim the blessing. Manasseh was Joseph's first born, but here Ephraim is the Lord's firstborn. Obviously, then, in the New Covenant of Promise, there is no Manasseh and there never was. Remember that the name Manasseh means to forget, forgetting, or to cause to forget. What this is telling us is that just as there is and was no Manasseh, so there is no natural Israel; there is no covenant here being made to the nation,

of which Manasseh is the type. "He shall be great and shall be a great nation," is a promise that was fulfilled in the Old Covenant under the Law in the Infralapsarian, time-and-history program. But from the beginning of Genesis, in all the types at which we have looked, the Covenant of Promise, Christ and the Church, was first and last. In the New Covenant prophesied here in this 31st chapter of Jeremiah, there is no promise to the nation being made. And the point is stronger still — there is no Manasseh; Ephraim is the Lord's first born. It is a New Testament prophecy altogether.

And so there you have it, declared plainly from the Scriptures. In the Supralapsarian, Sovereign plan of God, there never was a natural seed and a natural nation of Israel. There is a Nation, a people of Christ, the True Israel (Matt 2:15), but it is not the Jews or the natural seed. Galatians 6:15-16, tells us who it is. It is the Church, the Bride of Christ, the Flesh and Bone of Christ, those who are born out of the bowels of God, by His incorruptible sperm that lives and abides forever (I Pet 1:23). That is what God wanted from before the worlds were made and it is what the whole thing has been all about. In that plan, worked out in the councils of God before the world was and when the names of the elect were written in the book of life (Rev 13:8), there simply never was anything about the natural seed, which of course, if one understands eternal life and the new birth, there obviously could not have been.

Getting back, then, to Replacement Theology, please tell me how we of the Historic, Orthodox Church are substituting in the Church when She has always had the position of the People of God and the Body of Christ? And how can we be replacing natural Israel, who has never been in that position, but more than that, in God's Sovereign, Supralapsarian program, has never existed at all? Ephraim is the Lord's First Born; there was no one before Ephraim in the Supralapsarian, Sovereign plan of God, which puts Manasseh in the land of forgetfulness as his name implies.

F. In Galatians 4, the Apostle shows clearly that in the Covenant of Promise, the natural children of Israel where never the people of God and had no inheritance in the Covenant of Promise on account of their nationality or the ordinances of the Law. Mysteries of truth in the Old Testament about Christ and the Church were hidden from ancients according to Ephesians 3:1-5. St. Paul and others of the Apostles (particularly St. Peter, in I Peter 1) had these mysteries revealed to them. But, as witnessed by the confusion that exists in fundamental Christianity 2,000 years later, it is still difficult for some Bible students to get hold of these great truths. For that reason, the revelation of the mystery that God gave to St. Paul had a number of ways of manifesting itself. One of the ways was to call up the Old Testament Scriptures and show that the truth was there all along. Men could not see it until the mystery was revealed. Even so, they knew that it meant something that they did not understand, and now in the light of the New Testament and the events of recent years, they could see very plainly what it meant. St. Paul turns the tables on the Zionists in Galatia. "Very well," he says, "you want to talk about the Old Testament and the Covenant of the Law, and you are so desirous to bring it the nation of Israel and the old covenant back someday in all if its ugliness and ignominy — let us talk about it. It is amazing to me how you always want to talk about the Law, never about the Gospel, but you pay no attention at all to what the Law is saying or what the things that you read here mean." The Apostle then gives them a case in point, and one which bears directly on the issue being dealt with here. The story is about the time in Genesis 21:8-13, when Sarah caught Ishmael making fun of Isaac. I will cite those verses now. "And the child grew, and was weaned: and Abraham made a great feast the same day that Isaac was weaned. And

Sarah saw the son of Hagar the Egyptian, which she had born unto Abraham, mocking. Wherefore she said unto Abraham, Cast out this bondwoman and her son: for the son of this bondwoman shall not be heir with my son, even with Isaac. And the thing was very grievous in Abrahams sight because of his son. And God said unto Abraham, Let it not be grievous in thy sight because of the lad, and because of thy bondwoman; in all that Sarah hath said unto thee, hearken unto her voice; for in Isaac shall thy seed be called. And also of the son of the bondwoman will I make a nation, because he is thy seed."

Did they hear what this says, the apostle wanted to know? It says that Abraham had two sons. Ishmael was the son of the bondwoman Hagar and Isaac was the son of the free woman Sarah. The son of the bondwoman was envious against the son of the free woman and persecuted him. This is how it is today. No one persecutes the Gospel to the Nations more than Christian Zionism. They demean the Church, minimize the importance of the Cross, call the present Kingdom to which God has called us for service either non-existent or unimportant by contrast, and advance the heretical notion that the nation of Israel means more to God than the Body of Christ, which He purchased with His own blood. In natural terms, Ishmael was the father of some of the Arabs and Isaac was the father of the Israelites. But the truth being prophetically portrayed in Genesis 21 had nothing to do with their natural and physical properties. It had to do with the program of God and how the spiritual seed had a greater prospect than the natural seed. These two sons were <u>allegorical</u> in this passage.

I am sure you all know what an allegory is. It is a situation where two or more objects, whether people animals or things, have principle meanings in the situation at hand that have nothing to do with their natural properties. A case in point would be the elephant and the donkey at election time. A donkey is a little animal that eats grass in the field and an elephant is a big animal that eats grass in the field. But that is not being portrayed when we see them struggling with each other in a cartoon at election time. The elephant represent the Republicans and the donkey represents the Democrats. The meaning of the cartoon is that it is election time and the Democrats and the Republicans are at it again. It has nothing at all to do with donkeys and elephants.

In Genesis 21:8-13, Ishmael is the nation of Israel and the Covenant of the Law. Isaac is the Church and the Covenant of Faith. This passage has nothing to do with the literal offspring of either of these two men. It has to do with the future of the Covenant of the Law and the Covenant of Faith and the natural seed as opposed to the <u>spiritual</u> seed, or in other words the <u>Children of the Promise</u> in Galatians 3:29.

Some humanistic Old Jews of the Church, in order to try to mislead people concerning the allegorical teachings of the Bible, have said that this is not a true allegory and that there is not a true allegory in the Bible. This is not only false, but it is a specious claim made deliberately to protect sectarian bias. Anyone who has enough education to know what an allegory is knows it. This is exactly what an allegory is. There is not a better example of an allegory that one could think of. It is exactly, precisely and completely an allegory and fully captures the meaning of an allegory. It is a situation where two men, whose natural properties are one thing, figuratively represent something that has nothing literally to do with either of them. That is an allegory and you have my permission and encouragement to totally ignore anyone who tries to tell you differently. He that tells you different is not interested in truth or your wellbeing; he is struggling for survival in a desperate attempt to salvage his Old Testament doctrine which has taken a direct hit in this passage and is sinking fast.

So here in Galatians 4, we have an allegory, "for these are the two covenants; the one from the mount Sinai, which gendereth to bondage, which is Agar. For this Agar is mount Sinai in Arabia, and answereth to Jerusalem which now is, and is in bondage with her children. But Jerusalem which is above is free, which is the mother of us all."

Not only does Ishmael represent the nation of Israel and the Covenant of the Law, but we are further told by the Apostle that the natural seed are still in bondage. They have never been delivered and they are not going to be delivered by Christ and the New Covenant. That is because, as we saw in Galatians 3:14-18, that the nation and the Covenant of the Law was no part at all of the Covenant of Promise made to Abraham. Their only chance to be delivered was to meet the conditions of the Law and to keep the Covenant and to accept the Messiah and His Kingdom. They did not do it and the Kingdom was taken from them. Their house, as the Prophet predicted in Daniel 9:27, was made desolate by the Messiah Prince, (and incidentally, if you go back and read Daniel 9:25-27 you will see for yourself that the Messiah is the only prince identified in those few verses) and desolations were determined upon them by God until the consummation of the ages which is, of course, the end of the world.

But what about the time of the nation after the time of the Gentiles has been fulfilled? There is no time of the nation after the time of the Gentiles. The time of the nation has come and long since gone, and it is not coming back. The attempt to establish a future for the nation of Israel as God's kingdom people in this world from Romans 11:26-27 is not only poor exegesis of Romans chapters 9, 1 and 11, but it is theological dishonesty born of sectarian bias. What price glory, my dear misguided friends; what price glory? It is unfortunate that many

have been so badly misled on this, but there is no time like the present to get the thinking straightened out. The time of the Gentiles does not reach fulfillment until the Second Coming of Christ which, according to 14 specific Scriptures in the letters to the Church, is the end of the world.

And so we see that Jerusalem, which now exists on this earth, is in bondage with her children. Those children are the natural seed, or in other words the Jews or the Israelites. "But Jerusalem which is above is free, which is the mother of us all." (Gal 4:26) This makes reference to the New Covenant, the New Birth, the Heavenly Sanctuary, the Heavenly City and the other possessions of the New Creation. You have probably heard it said that there is no spiritual application and meaning to the name Jerusalem. This is just some more of the litany of Jewish gobbledygook. This verse teaches otherwise, along with Hebrews 12:22-24, which says: "But ye are come unto mount Sion, and unto the city of the living God, the **heavenly Jerusalem** [did you hear that — the <u>heavenly Jerusalem</u>] and to an innumerable company of angels, to the general assembly and church of the firstborn, which are written in heaven, and to God the Judge of all, and to the spirits of just men made perfect, and to Jesus the mediator of the new covenant, and to the blood of sprinkling, that speaketh better things than that of Abel."

My brothers and sisters, please be clear on this point because it is an important matter to you. There is a Heavenly Jerusalem where Christ is and everyone that belongs to Christ is a part of it. Pay no attention to the Christian Zionists who would try to rob you of that possession in order to protect their Jewish fables. **"But Jerusalem which is above is free, which is the mother of us all. For it is written, Rejoice, thou barren that bearest not; break forth and cry, thou that travailest not: for the desolate hath many more** children than she which hath an husband. Now we, brethren, as Isaac was, are the children of promise." (Gal 4:26-28)

In the Old Testament times we were like Sarah before the birth of Isaac. We were barren and our prospect was bleak. But our time has come. God has rejected the Nation and has come to <u>the nations</u>. He has rejected the Covenant of the Flesh and of works and has brought deliverance to us freely by faith and through grace. We who wept before are rejoicing now. There are many more of us than there ever were of the natural seed and our Covenant and the Life in the Kingdom that it gives us is forever. Just as Isaac who prophetically represented Christ (who was the True Seed of Abraham in whom the Covenant was confirmed), so we also are the children, not of the Old Covenant of the Law and the Flesh which has eternally passed from prominence, but of the Covenant of Promise, made to Abraham in Genesis 12:2, 7, and which is forever — "And <u>if ye be Christ's, then are ye Abraham's seed</u>, and heirs according to the <u>promise</u>" (Gal 3:29)

In Summary

Thus we see that in the Supralapsarian plan of God (as is has worked out in time-and-history through Christ, the Cross, the New Birth and the Church) there was only one body from eternity past, and that is the Church. Those who believe that the Church is the Kingdom of God in this world and the only people of God that there is or ever was, are not Replacement Theologians. There is nothing and no one to replace. The Church was written in heaven before the world began. As to sovereignty and Supralapsarianism, it is the Christian Zionist who is the Replacement Theologian because he is trying to replace the Church, the body of Christ, the Pillar and Ground of Truth, the Bride of Christ which He loved from eternity and purchased with His own blood, those who are Bone of God's Bone and Flesh of God's Flesh, those who are born to Him through the Gospel, the Cross, and the Baptism of the Holy Ghost — trying to replace that glorious Bride of Christ, I say, with a group of People who are...well, we will let Christ and his disciples tell us who they are:

John 8:38 I speak that which I have seen with my Father: and ye do that which ye have seen with your father.

John 8:39 They answered and said unto him, <u>Abraham is our father</u>. Jesus saith unto them, <u>If ye were Abraham's children</u>, ye would do the works of Abraham.

John 8:40 But now ye seek to kill me, a man that hath told you the truth, which I have heard of God: this did not Abraham.

John 8:41 <u>Ye do the deeds of your father</u>. Then said they to him, We be not born of fornication; we have one Father, even God.

John 8:42 Jesus said unto them, <u>If God were your Father</u>, ye would love me: for I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of myself, but he sent me.

John 8:43 Why do ye not understand my speech? even because ye cannot hear my word.

John 8:44 <u>Ye are of your father the devil</u>, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.

John 8:45 And because I tell you the truth, ye believe me not.

John 8:46 Which of you convinceth me of sin? And if I say the truth, why do ye not believe me?

John 8:47 He that is of God heareth God's words: ye therefore hear them not, <u>because ye are not of God</u>.

That is what Jesus had to say to the darlings of the Christian Zionists in His time. Here He speaks again to the same gang: Matt 23:31 Wherefore ye be witnesses unto yourselves, that ye are the children of them which killed the prophets.

Matt 23:32 Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers.

Matt 23:33 <u>Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape</u> the damnation of hell?

Matt 23:34 Wherefore, behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes: and some of them ye shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city:

Matt 23:35 <u>That upon you may come all the righteous blood shed</u> <u>upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of</u> <u>Zacharias son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the temple and the</u> <u>altar</u>.

Matt 23:36 <u>Verily I say unto you, All these things shall come upon</u> this generation.

Matt 23:37 O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the prophets, and stonest them which are sent unto thee, how often would I have gathered thy children together, even as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings, and ye would not!

Matt 23:38 Behold, your house is left unto you desolate.

The Martyr Stephen said to the heartthrobs of the Christian Zionists in Acts 7:

Acts 7:51 Ye stiffnecked and uncircumcised in heart and ears, <u>ye do</u> always resist the Holy Ghost: as your fathers did, so do ye.

Acts 7:52 Which of the prophets have not your fathers persecuted? and they have slain them which shewed before of the <u>coming of the Just</u> <u>One; of whom ye have been now the betrayers and murderers</u>:

Acts 7:53 Who have received the law by the disposition of angels, and have not kept it.

St. Peter says this to the men of Jerusalem, in Acts 2:

Acts 2:22 <u>Ye men of Israel, hear these words</u>; Jesus of Nazareth, a man approved of God among you by miracles and wonders and signs, which God did by him in the midst of you, as ye yourselves also know:

Acts 2:23 Him, being delivered by the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God, <u>ye have taken</u>, and by wicked hands have crucified and slain:

Notice if you will that it was not the Romans that He was addressing when he told them that they had taken Christ with wicked hands and crucified him. It was <u>the men of Israel</u>.

Then St. Paul says of the Jews, the stars of the Christian Zionist fictitious historical drama, in I Thessalonians 2:

I Thess 2:14 For ye, brethren, became followers of the churches of God which in Judaea are in Christ Jesus: for ye also have suffered like things of your own countrymen, even as they have of the Jews:

I Thess 2:15 Who both killed the Lord Jesus, and their own prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men:

I Thess 2:16 Forbidding us to speak to the Gentiles that they might be saved, <u>to fill up their sins alway</u>: for the wrath is come upon them to <u>the uttermost</u>.

While the Christian Zionist is teaching that these are still the chosen people of God, St. Paul agrees with Jesus that these are children of hell and that **"wrath has come on them to the uttermost!"**

These are the ones with whom the Christian Zionists want to replace the Church as God's chosen people in time-and-history. I can already hear the howls, the hisses, the tearing of Old Testament religious garments, and sputtering for words angry enough and venomous enough to suitably condemn me. But before you let yourself be intimidated by that Pharisaical display of hatred and murderous scheming, just go quietly back and read Jeremiah 31:8-9; Galatians 3:14-18; Ephesians 3:1-6, 3:21; II Timothy 1:9; Titus 1:2; and Revelation 13:8 (which are only a few of the many), and then ask yourself: "Who were the Children of God in the Eternal Covenant of Promise from the beginning?"

In Conclusion

As to the various meanings and understandings of the phrase, "Replacement Theology":

- 1. It is a pejorative term devised by the Christian Zionist as a talking point to their constituencies in order to shore up their slipping popularity in the evangelical world. By it, they hope to trivialize, marginalize, and demonize all who are not in agreement with their Old Testament, Materialistic Theology.
- 2. As to the strictly Infralapsarian program of God in working out the plan of redemption in time-and-history, Israel was replaced, by Jesus Christ the King, with the Church as His body and His Kingdom People. Even though they were used by God to bring the Messiah into the world as a Man, and even thought there were glories to the Old Testament as the Apostles says in II Corinthians 3, their inevitable failure to understand the spirit of the Law and to accept the King and His Kingdom resulted in God desolating their house, taking the Kingdom from them, and casting them away. Just because the offer was real did not mean that it could have been done. But that was not a sovereignty matter. It is a time-andhistory thing in which the offer was indeed real and the failure was also real. As Jesus told them, "If you had known what I was offering you and if you would have come to the party, it could have been much different." But they did not do it, and so Jesus, in time-and-history and

in the Infralapsarian plan as a reaction to their rejection, changed the covenant from a kingdom that was of this world with a natural, Adamic citizenry to a Kingdom that was no longer of this world and gave the Kingdom to the Church, Children of the Last Adam, and Citizens of the New Creation.

3. As to the Supralapsarian, Sovereign Plan of God from before the world was, the Church is, always has been, and always will be, the Chosen People of God. While individual Jews may come to Christ and be saved, just as any other (and, as Romans chapters 9, 10, and 11 tells us, the plan of God in casting them aside had the hopeful consequence of causing exactly that to happen), Israel as a national entity was never a part of God's sovereign plan for a family and never will be. They were never replaced by the church and they will never replace the church.

I trust that this will give you a frame of reference with which to understand and properly relate to the term, <u>Replacement Theology</u>. Is it exhaustive? No, there are chapters, even books that could be written on the subject. But it is accurate as far as it goes and it is comprehensive enough to deliver you from the bewilderment and uneasiness that many are expressing to me when they ask about the term. And it is to address that need that I have set these thoughts in order.

God's Point of View $\mathbb{C}2016$